

Notice of Uncontested Sanctions Proceedings

March 15, 2024

SanctionsCaseNo. 767  
IDA Credit Number 5102-KE  
(Kenya Nairobi Metropolitan Services Improvement Project)

Respondent:  
Cairo Consult

1. On October 24, 2023, the World Bank's Chief Suspension and Debarment Officer (the "SDO") issued a Notice of Sanctions Proceedings (the "Notice") to Cairo Consult (the "Respondent") pursuant to sub-paragraph 4.01(a) of Section III.A of the Bank Procedure: Sanctions Proceedings and Settlements in Bank Financed Projects issued by the Bank on June 28, 2016 (the "Sanctions Procedures").
2. The Statement of Accusations and Evidence (the "SAE") prepared by the Bank's Integrity Vice Presidency ("INT") and appended to the Notice contained INT's accusation that the Respondent engaged in Sanctionable Practice (as defined in the Sanctions Procedures) in connection with the above project (the

contract, financially or in any other manner<sup>1</sup>(ii) to be a nominated<sup>2</sup>sub-contractor, consultant, manufacturer or supplier, or service provider of an otherwise eligible firm being awarded a Bank-financed contract; and (iii) to receive the proceeds of any loan made by the Bank or otherwise to participate further in the preparation or implementation of any Bank-Financed Project; provided however, that after a minimum period of ineligibility of four (4) years and six (6) months, Cairo Consult may be released from ineligibility only if Cairo Consult has, in accordance with sub-paragraph 9.03 of Section III.A of the Sanctions Procedures, demonstrated to the World Bank Group's Integrity Compliance Officer that Cairo Consult has complied with the following conditions:

- (a) Cairo Consult has taken appropriate remedial measures to address the Sanctionable Practices for which Cairo Consult has been sanctioned; and
- (b) Cairo Consult has adopted and implemented, in a manner satisfactory to the Bank, integrity compliance measures as may be imposed by the World Bank Group's Integrity Compliance Officer pursuant to sub-paragraph 9.03(b) of Section III.A of the Sanctions Procedures (e.g., an integrity compliance program or elements thereof) to address the Sanctionable Practice.

In determining this recommended sanction, the SDO took into account, as aggravating factors, (i) Cairo Consult's engagement in multiple misrepresentations during the relevant contract's negotiation and execution; (ii) the role played by Cairo Consult's management in its fraudulent misconduct, noting that Cairo Consult's Chairman participated in Cairo Consult's misconduct; and (iii) the harm done to the Project, noting that Cairo Consult's misrepresentations resulted in poor-quality deliverables and the relevant contract's termination, as well as a significant waste of time and money without delivering the intended outcomes. Additionally, the SDO also considered, as a mitigating factor, the passage of time since Cairo Consult engaged in the misconduct and the

---

<sup>1</sup> For the avoidance of doubt, the declaration of ineligibility to be awarded a contract will include, without limitation, (i) applying for pre-qualification, expressing interest in a consultancy, and bidding, either directly or as a nominated~~contractor~~ contractor, nominated consultant, nominated manufacturer or supplier, or nominated service provider, in respect of such contract, and (ii) entering into an addendum or amendment introducing a material modification to any existing contract.

<sup>2</sup> A nominated sub~~contractor~~ contractor, nominated consultant, nominated manufacturer or supplier, or nominated service provider (different names are used depending on the particular bidding document) is one which has been (i) included by the bidder in its pre qualification application or bid because it brings specific and critical experience and knowhow that allow the bidder to meet the qualification requirements for the particular bid or (ii) appointed by the borrower.

Bank became aware of it. The SDO did not apply any additional aggravating or mitigating factors.


This declaration of ineligibility will extend across the operations of the World Bank Group, including IFC, MIGA, and the guarantee and carbon finance operations of the Bank. The Bank will also provide notice of this declaration of ineligibility to the other multilateral development banks (“MDBs”) that are party to the Agreement for Mutual Enforcement of Debarment Decisions (the “MDB Cross-Debarment Agreement”) so that they may determine whether to enforce the declarations of ineligibility with respect to their own operations in accordance with the MDB Cross-Debarment Agreement and their own policies and procedures.

5. Sub-paragraph 4.04 of Section III.A of the Sanctions Procedures provides that if a respondent does not contest the accusation or the sanction recommended by the SDO in a Notice of Sanctions Proceedings by submitting a Response (as defined in the Sanctions Procedures) to the World Bank Group Sanctions Board (the “Sanctions Board”) within ninety (90) days after delivery of such Notice of Sanctions Proceedings, the sanction recommended by the SDO shall enter immediately into force.
6. No Response having been submitted to the Sanctions Board Respondent within the specified period, INT’s accusation in the SAE and the sanction recommended by the SDO in the Notice are deemed uncontested for purposes of sub-paragraph 4.04 of Section III.A of the Sanctions Procedures, and the recommended sanction set forth in paragraph 4 above entered into force as of the date hereof.

---

<sup>3</sup> Sanctions Procedures, . . . paragraph 9.01(c) of Section III.A. For the avoidance of doubt, the declaration of ineligibility also extends to activities financed through trust funds administered by the Bank to the extent governed by the Bank’s Procurement Regulations (or either of the Regulations’ predecessor documents Procurement Guidelines and Consultant Guidelines) or Corruption Guidelines. Id., sub-paragraph 1.01(c)(i) of Section III.A.

<sup>4</sup> At present, the parties to the MDB Cross-Debarment Agreement are the Bank Group, the African Development Bank Group, the Asian Development Bank, the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development, and the Inter-American Development Bank Group. The MDB Cross-Debarment Agreement provides that, subject to the prerequisite conditions set forth in the MDB Cross-Debarment Agreement, unless a participating MDB (i) believes that any of the prerequisite conditions set forth in the MDB Cross-Debarment Agreement have not been met or (ii) decides to exercise its rights under the “opt out” clause set forth in the MDB Cross-Debarment Agreement, each participating MDB will promptly enforce the debarment decisions of the other participating MDBs.



Jamieson A. Smith  
Chief Suspension and Debarment Officer  
Office of Suspension and Debarment (OSD)  
The World Bank